

**WESTBOURNE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN**

**COMMUNITY GROUPS / BUSINESSES**

 **28.11.13 FEEDBACK**

|  |
| --- |
| **ROADS / TRAFFIC / PUBLIC TRANSPORT - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **1** |
| * Resurfacing
* National traffic calming
* Additional traffic calming
* Traffic speed – 20’s Plenty
* Lack of foot paths
* Cycle routes
* Parking availability - streets / village square
 | * Funding for traffic management
* Push for funding implementation of roadway development
* S106 existing funds - how is it being spent
* Schools local traffic survey
* School and College transport
* Bus time tabling
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **ROADS / TRAFFIC / PUBLIC TRANSPORT - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **2** |
| * Parking - car park facilities
* 20 mph – speed restriction
* Off road parking for new development (visitor parking)
* Village gateways / pedestrian crossings
* Public transport (too few buses)
* Improve bus routes ( more regular)
 | * Links to rail routes
* Traffic measures for White Chimney Row
* HGV restrictions – especially for White Chimney Row
* Pedestrian friendly
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **ROADS / TRAFFIC / PUBLIC TRANSPORT - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **3** |
| * Cars parked both sides – therefore a single lane road
* People would like car park
* Bus services cut / 54 diverted service through village
* Route 11 to Chichester stopped completely
* Public transport system
* Just want bus service (not always need for subsidy)
 | * Questionnaire – what is right for bus service
* Infrastructure of transport
* Alternatives must be attractive
* Cycling routes as a network
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **ROADS / TRAFFIC / PUBLIC TRANSPORT - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **4** |
| * Lack of car parking severely limits village usefor businesses and village events
* Traffic – road through village has becomea rat run - possibility of restricting speeds (20)
 | * Safety - for pedestrians / cyclists / horse ridersconsideration for safety is minimal
* Destruction of community by housing developmentand uncontrolled traffic
 |
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|  |
| --- |
| **LOCAL FACILITIES / HEALTHCARE / SCHOOLS / OPEN SPACES - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **1**  |
| * Youth facilities - what is needed - what is the agedemographics of the village
* Well serviced for shops - BUT - we must maintaina healthy balanced without loss
* Must maintain presence in village of Doctors surgery
* Outlying Broadband capability needs updating
 | * Questionnaire needs to ask if there is a need forafter school facility / clubs
* School playing field space needs increasing
* Protection of open spaces
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **LOCAL FACILITIES / HEALTHCARE / SCHOOLS / OPEN SPACES - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **2**  |
| * Village Playground - not much for small children
* Maintain as many local shops as possible
* Maintain meeting venue facilities
* Maintain allotments
 | * Maintain Doctors surgery
* Maintain Post Office
* Primary school is full ! - potential new site
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **LOCAL FACILITIES / HEALTHCARE / SCHOOLS / OPEN SPACES - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **3**  |
| * School at capacity and finite new housing would mean that demand cannot be met
* Doctors surgery - (branch / sub-set of Emsworth)new location ? Therefore real need to maintainDoctors in Westbourne
 | * Possible need for Dentist in village too
* Well catered for Open Spaces
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **LOCAL FACILITIES / HEALTHCARE / SCHOOLS / OPEN SPACES - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **4**  |
| * Essential to look at needs of village in its entirety (not just a question of particular issues)
* Demonstrate that we have looked at all sides /opinions in the debate
* Positioning of Westbourne School, county-wise,often precludes village children getting placesin the village school
 | * Doctors surgery - village plan needs to encouragemaintaining current surgery provision and encouraging expansion
* Retention of open space (Monks Hill) is important
* Provision for youth club is important, as there is nofacilities for young people. This is important forthe inhabitants of the village – less vandalism and criminality
 |
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|  |
| --- |
| **DRAINAGE / FLOODING / ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES / NATURAL ENVIRONMENT** **- FEEDBACK GROUP**   **1**  |
| * Sewerage treatment facilities inadequate
* Drainage ditches not being maintained
* Lack of surface water management- Woodmancote Road / outside church
 | * New development must not be allowed to addto sewerage and water problems
* Need for local bio-diversity plan for Westbourne area
* Need for reduce carbon footprint of village
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **DRAINAGE / FLOODING / ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES / NATURAL ENVIRONMENT** **- FEEDBACK GROUP**   **2**  |
| * Road drainage need improvements
* Regular gully maintenance
* Future development not on flood plain
* Ensure ‘down stream’ water management
 | * Protect natural environment
* Protect green fields
* Environmental impact to be taken into accountwith new development
* Maintain “gaps” between surrounding communities
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **DRAINAGE / FLOODING / ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES / NATURAL ENVIRONMENT** **- FEEDBACK GROUP**   **3**  |
| * Erosion, due to flooding, on road edges
 | * Concerns expressed around the Mill River sluice gatere control and possibility of flooding into village
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **DRAINAGE / FLOODING / ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES / NATURAL ENVIRONMENT** **- FEEDBACK GROUP**   **4**  |
| * Water Authorities must be encouraged to addressflooding problems / Sewerage provision
 | * Natural environment issues regarding The Ems flowing through the centre of the village
 |
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|  |
| --- |
| **HOUSING / HISTORIC BUILDING PRESERVATION - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **1** |
| * Need to have appropriate housing for a changingage demographics of village
* Dwellings must conform to increasing ECO needs
* Design of housing should have a balance betweenvisual and environmental impact
 | * Historic buildings already protected in ConservationArea
* Update Village Design Statement
* Small developments of 4 – 6 houses would be preferable to one of 25
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **HOUSING / HISTORIC BUILDING PRESERVATION - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **2**  |
| * New housing to complement the area
* Affordable housing for local residents
* Maintain infrastructure
* Priority planning for : self-build or minor siterather than large area housing
 | * Future housing to be infill rather than large area
* Preserve historic and listed buildings
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **HOUSING / HISTORIC BUILDING PRESERVATION - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **3**  |
| * Sympathetic design of apartments, designed as houses – two storey, with reduced parking per unit, size etc. Also conversion of larger properties intosay 4 units
 | * Preferable to have 4 – 5 house developmentrather than 20
* 30% / 40% affordable housing
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **HOUSING / HISTORIC BUILDING PRESERVATION - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **4**  |
| * Housing - look at people’s needs – age demographics - who is on the housing listeg elderly, single parents, families
 | * Historic Houses - contradiction in considering listed buildings in a conservation area and roads being pounded by heavy traffic which impacts on the life of these buildings
* Possibility of re-routing large / heavy traffic- width restrictions ?
 |
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|  |
| --- |
| **EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES / LOCAL BUSINESSES - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **1**  |
| * Support local businesses – but need to establish appropriateness
 | * Need to limit existing “stretched” infrastructure
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES / LOCAL BUSINESSES - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **2** |
| * Possibility of increase in small businesses
 | * Encourage, maintain and promote local businesses
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES / LOCAL BUSINESSES - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **3**  |
| * What makes Westbourne distinctive - static direction
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES / LOCAL BUSINESSES - FEEDBACK GROUP**   **4**  |
| * Businesses are NOT being started as there isNO PARKING PROVISION
* Existing businesses are struggling again in large part due to lack of parking
 | * The village is in no position to encouragemore businesses because of the lack of infrastructure
 |
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