**APPENDIX L**

Exchanges of emails: CDC, Parish Council, PCSO, Community

**Email from Roy Briscoe (parish councillor) to fellow parish councillors (22/04/16)**

1. The Old Army Camp, Cemetery Lane.
   * The owner seems to be treating the planning regulations with total contempt,
   1. We have raised the issue that he has 'Sectioned off' the buildings area creating a 'New Entrance' which is now turning into an industrial area. This new Entrance should require Planning Permission. Cemetery Lane is an Unadopted Road but it is a Road and holds the same status as a 'C' class Road the same as Marlpit Lane where enforcement action was taken to close another unauthorised entrance at Racton View in the last couple of years.
   2. Within that area a 'Concrete Crushing Machine is now in regular use and can be heard over 3/4 of a mile away-----In a Countryside Location!----Close to the Cemetery where people go in quiet contemplation a non-designated heritage asset within Westbourne
   3. The other area to the West which was a lovely green expanse has now been covered with Road planings and split into 4 or 5 areas with close board fencing, concrete posts and concrete kick boards in an area designated as 'Countryside' in the Chichester Local Plan, this has transformed the site to one of URBAN appearance. An application has gone forward to change the use of the site to 4travelling Showman pitches, so enforcement have indicated no action will be taken before this is determined. However, one of these areas now has a wooden building Constructed and the area is being used by Howard and Funnel Road Contractors to operate from. This is just making a mockery of the planning system.
   4. A New electricity supply was run into the site from Cemetery Lane in the week commencing 4th April.
   5. A large static has been installed in the area at the rear of the existing Gypsy settlement an application by Mr Green which was refused but has now been re-submitted which we have objected to.
   6. There are at least 2 other mobile homes in 'storage' on the 'Industrial site'
   * It appears the owner will do it and then apply surely Enforcement can and should stop any further development, this is becoming a fait-accompli. Even if it is refused which the Parish Council believe it should and have the evidence to support it through the Neighbourhood Plan the owner will take it to Appeal and an inspector will look at it and say its an Urban Area what's the fuss about. It should be returned to its previous Countryside appearance and the commercial activities stopped. We have to show/evidence we have taken action to get the land re-instated as it was, Countryside.
   * We need some form of action now.
   * Please see attached document with Photos of the site and how it is being developed as we speak without permission.
   * Historic Ariel Photos show how green the area was and that the 2nd access is new.
   1. obvious access from the Road in fact there is a wooden post fence between the site and the road in addition to the fence to the woodland.
   2. The 3 equestrian accesses had to go through planning I believe this evidence shows there is no historic access and also requires Planning Permission.

Please can you forward to the enforcement team. I realize they are very busy with limited resources but we need action on these I have received several enquiries asking what we are doing about this.

NB The Neighbourhood Plan identifies this area as a local Gap with this unauthorised development the 2 areas of Westbourne and Woodmancote will coalesce into one.

**Email from Roy Briscoe (parish councillor) to fellow parish councillors and PCSO Booker (9/04/16).**

Hi All,

Thought I best just circulate this for your info, I made some contact with Colin about some Off Road M/C causing a nuisance and also let him know about some complaints I received about the Fires at the Paddocks at the Woodmancote residents meeting.

His response is below, nice to see he is on the ball and fully aware and who is doing what with it.

Let’s hope the EA and CDC Environmental Health get their act together and get a prosecution. We're doing our bit now they need to act.

Clare has kindly asked CDC planning about any conditions apparently none were attached when planning was given!!! OOPS not very good, thought this was a standard condition to stop the burning of trade waste.

Still this also adds to the evidence base that the numbers and behaviour are causing issues for the settled community and something needs to be put in place-----let’s hope we can put something in the NP to bolster the planners’ position.

 ----Original Message----  
From: [Colin.Booker@sussex.pnn.police.uk](mailto:Colin.Booker@sussex.pnn.police.uk)  
Date: 29/04/2016 16:24   
To: <[westbournepcroy@XXXXXXXt](mailto:westbournepcroy@talktalk.net)>  
Subj: RE: Off Road motorcyclists

Roy

Thanks for the info,

I am aware of the issues regarding the fires at this location they appear to have been going on for a number of months.

Both the Environment Agency and Environmental Health are aware and dealing as a large number of complaints have been raised by local residents.

Thanks again

Regards

**Colin Booker**

P.C.S.O. for Funtington/Westbourne Wards, Wildlife Crime Officer and

Gypsy/Traveller Liaison Officer

**Chichester**

**West Sussex Division**

**Telephone:**101 **Mobile:**07912 895813

**From:** [westbournepcroy@XXXXXXXt](file:///C:\Users\piersm\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Temporary%20Internet%20Files\Content.IE5\7UT1QK6E\westbournepcroy@XXXXXXXt) [<mailto:westbournepcroy@XXXXXXXXX>]   
**Sent:** 29 April 2016 12:22  
**To:** Booker Colin 27901 <[Colin.Booker@sussex.pnn.police.uk](mailto:Colin.Booker@sussex.pnn.police.uk)>  
**Subject:** RE: Off Road motorcyclists

Thanks Colin,

They get displaced from one area and move to another----since the gravel pit at Marlpit Lane is now being infilled they haven't anywhere to go so are creating a nuisance where they are not welcome---close to the population.

Just for your info as well, I've reported some concerns expressed at the Woodmancote Residents Association I attended last week.

Apparently the Gypsy/Traveller community at The Paddocks next to Hopedene on Common Road have been having fires burning what is believed to be contaminated waste in the evenings and Weekends. A few skips have been seen going in with Yellow and Black plastic bags (That sounds like contaminated waste to me) then black acrid choking smoke rising and falling on the nearby properties. One complaint is from Amberley Kennels at the Switchbacks.

I have reported this via the PC to Planning Enforcement whom I would have expected to put conditions on the site---no burning of commercial waste-----they have come back very is interested surprise surprise. But we have also informed the EA and Chichester District Environmental team.

We, the PC, walked past the site a couple of weeks ago and Mr Richard Lowton came out to find out who we were and I did mention it to him as a hint to stop it, however it would appear this has gone unheeded and appears to be worse than ever. Obviously they are choosing a time in the evenings and weekends when no-one is around.

The fire brigade have been called out a few times but by the time they respond the smoke has dissipated and I think they are probably wary of entering the site!!!!!

I did witness a large plume of smoke several weeks ago on my way home one Saturday about 6pm coming from the site but its only at the meeting I've been made aware this is becoming commonplace.

Cheers Roy

**From:** [westbournepcroy@XXXXXXXt](file:///C:\Users\piersm\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Temporary%20Internet%20Files\Content.IE5\7UT1QK6E\westbournepcroy@XXXXXXXt) [<mailto:westbournepcroy@XXXXXXXt>]   
**Sent:** 20 October 2016 15:22  
**To:** Shona Archer <[SArcher@chichester.gov.uk](mailto:SArcher@chichester.gov.uk)>; Reg Wawks <[rhawks@chichester.gov.uk](mailto:rhawks@chichester.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** Marlpit Area

I recently received information regarding a new road being built partially in the landfill site but crossing over to the existing Landfill. I have been along the Public Footpath today and have serious concerns that this is another attempt to create a new access to Jubilee woods and beyond. I fully expect it to continue to the Illegal Gate on Marlpit land just next to the Entrance to the Landfill. I believe this development to be in contravention of the conditions imposed on the Landfill site that they should conduct a study on wildlife before doing work in an area----having said that I believe its Mr Lonsdale that has instigated the work as opposed to the management Co in the Landfill site but that needs to be clarified.

The track runs from where the footpath turns across the landfill site to close to the illegal track they have been told to remove.

As for the 'gate that has been put in the fence line on Marlpit just North of the Landfill site, you can clearly see there has never been an access to the road here by the trees running along the road side. This is what they did and have convinced you that it was an existing access with the one round the corner. I am in the process of getting maps of the area from WSCC and Hanson's to prove this is the case. I already have 2 witnesses that tell me there never have been accesses there and these are just put there to dupe yourselves. The witnesses unfortunately don't particularly want to become embroiled in this but would like to see action taken, which is why I've been contacted. Although part is the responsibility of WSCC there is a considerable portion in the CDC controlled area.

Please can you instigate an enquiry regarding this illegal track and activities.

Thanks Cllr Roy Briscoe

Appendix 6

**Assorted emails regarding GTTSP issues**

From: [joan4wpc@XXXXXXXXXX](mailto:joan4wpc@googlemail.com)  
Date: 16/07/2015 13:29  
To: "Richard Hitchcock"<[Richard.Hitchcock@XXXXXXX](mailto:Richard.Hitchcock@XXXXXXX)>, "Val Owen"<[mrsvalowen@XXXXXXXX](mailto:mrsvalowen@gmail.com)>, "Nigel Ricketts"<[nigel58ricketts@XXXXXXX](mailto:nigel58ricketts@XXXXXXX)>, "Patricia Goodhew"<[pgoodhew@XXXXXXX](mailto:pgoodhew@XXXXXXX)>, "Roy Briscoe"<[roy.briscoe@XXXXXXX](mailto:roy.briscoe@XXXXXXX)>, "Clare Kennett"<[westbournepc@XXXXXXX](mailto:westbournepc@outlook.com)>, "Kevin Turvey"<[kturvey@XXXXXXXX](mailto:kturvey@btinternet.com)>  
Subj: Cemetery

Dear All  
Just a little insight into how things work in Cemetery Lane & the issues that could easily arise if any further planning applications get approved down the cemetery end of the lane. Yesterday we had a burial and a large number of people came up for the interment.

Problem one was an abandoned car - an old blue metro containing a hippo bag of builders rubbish - dump the rubbish, dump the car. Phoned Colin (PCSO) but it couldn't be moved in the time frame. Colin was coming out in due course, but haven't heard from him today.

On this occasion we didn't have a hearse, we had a coffin on the back of a Covers lorry. Sometimes we have the horses, which means we have the horse drawn hearse and the horse box in the lane. (Anything goes these days!).

My point being - as it was yesterday, the lane can be blocked for a while - we had the lorry and a limo behind it (& a dumped car) plus loads of people. Generally people using the lane are respectful & patient. However yesterday somebody leaving the caravan site (no names mentioned) chose to have a go at the lorry driver & was not too impressed when asked to please wait or turn round and go out the other end - which he did.

Such incidents are only likely to increase if more planning permissions are granted for the lane - respect these days can, on occasion be in short supply.  
regards  
Joan

**Appendix 7**

From: [joan4wpc@XXXXXXXXXX](mailto:joan4wpc@googlemail.com)  
Date: 22/07/2015 15:06  
To: "Roy Briscoe"<[roy.briscoe@XXXXXX](mailto:roy.briscoe@XXXXXX)>, "Richard Hitchcock"<[Richard.Hitchcock@XXXXXXk](mailto:Richard.Hitchcock@XXXXXXk)>  
Subj: Cemetery Lane  
Dear Roy  
There is a yellow car using the lane - not sure which site it is coming from - the car has a bit of a racy/fast appearance, driver certainly thinks he's Formula 1! It sure does motor down the made up section. If, it's coming from Ten Acres it has made me think.

Permission for 1 Travelling showman's caravan & storage & maintenance of showman's equipment in existing building was permitted under application 05/00756/FUL

There are three sheds on the Old Army camp site - now fenced off with a new entrance. It's the first shed nearest the lane to which this permission relates.

Condition 3 reads: The site and building to which this permission relates shall not be used other than as a travelling showman's site and for the purposes incidental to that use and at no time shall be used for the conduct of any other unrelated business or commercial activity whatsoever.

Condition 4 reads: The use hereby permitted shall not be carried out other than by a member of the Showmen's Guild of Great Britain or by such other person as may be agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Jess Howard who lived there died and his widow moved away. Who lives there now and whoever they are, are they members of the Guild or has CDC given other 'permission'?

Jess Howard 'allegedly' had a Shooter Ride, which no doubt 'may' have resided in that shed - we never ever saw it in the lane being taken out to fairs!!

So are the new residents in Ten Acres members of the Guild? Who uses the first shed and for what purpose? One can see that the rear sheds are being used for car breaking or such like purposes, for which there doesn't seem to have been any planning consent, but if, as and when you may walk down the lane, can you perhaps take note of any activity in the first shed?

The Rules and Regulations of the Showmen's Guild state - All Guild Members have to have their equipment tested annually. Independent engineers are registered with the National Association for Leisure Industry Certification (NAFLIC) test.

How do CDC planners keep a check on all these so called Traveller sites and know that the people occupying them are the people to whom permission was granted. Perhaps it would be a good idea if the annual test certificate on the equipment (that's supposed to be there) was annually sent to CDC to register against the application?!

Maybe the Inspector would like to answer how 'tabs' are kept on these people and their sites?  
regards  
Joan

Appendix 8

From: [joan4wpc@XXXXXXXXX](mailto:joan4wpc@googlemail.com)  
Date: 23/07/2015 10:23  
To: "Roy Briscoe"<[roy.briscoe@XXXXXXXt](mailto:roy.briscoe@XXXXXXXt)>  
Subj: Re: Cemetery Lane

Roy  
I am very concerned for the cemetery. I am still Clerk for the cemetery & I have just emailed the chair of the CDC Planning Committee who happens to be a Southbourne parish councillor on the Joint Burial Committee. See if we get any answers. I'll keep you in the loop.  
regards  
Joan

From: [joan4wpc@XXXXXXXXX](mailto:joan4wpc@googlemail.com)  
Date: 26/07/2015 11:55  
To: "Clare Kennett"<[westbournepc@outlook.com](mailto:westbournepc@outlook.com)>, "Roy Briscoe"<[roy.briscoe@XXXXXt](mailto:roy.briscoe@XXXXXt)>, "Richard Hitchcock"<[Richard.Hitchcock@XXXXX](mailto:Richard.Hitchcock@XXXXX)>  
Subj: Fwd: FW: Enforcement

Dear Roy  
In view of the fact that we have not heard anymore following this email string and the approaching appeal date for land west of Harwood on 20 August. I have asked for some information. I have noted that the two applications we have in for Cemetery Lane for Traveller pitches on The Old Army Camp site and the other one to the west of the County caravan site have not yet been determined, but there is some interesting correspondence there.

Enforcement action by its very nature, is quite secretive, otherwise the contravention may have (temporarily) ceased by the time of a visit and quite often we do not appreciate or understand the result in the interpretation of planning law. All we and parishioners see is apparent inaction and frustration. The gypsy residing at the top of Monks Hill is a classic example.

There has been a multi agency visit to The Old Army Camp - Enforcement Officer, Environmental Health, Environment Agency, Police & maybe others. I appreciate it takes a while to get everybody together for these visits and then everybody’s report has to go back in etc etc.

My concern is very much for the cemetery and I have asked the chair of CDC Planning Committee, who is also on the Joint Burial Committee, to make some enquiries on the outcome of this visit.

Trying a different route without ruffling feathers! I'll let you know any information that comes back. Meanwhile should anybody ask, there has been a multi agency site visit to The Old Army Camp in Cemetery Lane.  
regards  
Joan

Not sure if this email is useful?

From: joan4wpc@XXXXXXX  
Date: 31/07/2015 09:28  
To: "Roy Briscoe"<[roy.briscoe@tXXXXXXXt](mailto:roy.briscoe@tXXXXXXXt)>  
Subj: Re: Planning Decision Notification - REFVAL.DCAPPL

Yes thanks Roy - it is good news and the application for the one pitch on the west of the WSCC caravan site was also refused for very similar reasons.

There must be some choice words there that can be used at the appeal for land west of Harwood on 20 August - namely that the need does no longer exist for all these pitches, extra traffic in the lane will be detrimental to the cemetery cumulative effect etc..  
Regards  
Joan

**From:** [Joan XXXXXX](mailto:joan4wpc@googlemail.com)  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 16, 2016 3:28 PM  
**To:** [Val XXXXX](mailto:mrsvalowen@gmail.com)   
**Subject:** Cemetery Lane

Hi Val   
I was asked the other day by somebody who lives in Cemetery Lane if I knew whether sheds/vans/caravans on The Old Army Camp were inhabited. Reason being that due to noise late at night of engines revving, getting off to sleep can be difficult. Also racing late at night down the lane sometimes.

I have noticed that during the day windows & doors of an old mobile home type caravan are open, but that's maybe because it's  a site hut or something for some activity.

Just wondered if anybody else has mentioned late noise engine noise or seen lights on in sheds ets after dark?  
regards  
JXXX

**From:** XXXXXX [<mailto:sarahlmiles@XXXXXXm>]   
**Sent:** 17 July 2016 12:35  
**To:** RICHARD HITCHCOCK <[rahitchcock@XXXXXX](mailto:rahitchcock@btinternet.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: Cemetery Lane

Afternoon all,   
With regard to engines revving late at night, Friday night of last week this was going on from at least 10pm (I was out before then) until around 1am, then started up again in the morning. I often see "banger racing" type cars being transported in and out of the industrial area in cemetery lane so it likely that is where the noise is coming from. I don't know about anyone living there but they do tend to be working on site all hours of the day.   
Kind regards  
Sarah

This next email – needs careful thought- perhaps edited highlights?

From: **XXX** <[XXXXXXXXXX](mailto:XXX@sound-discs.co.uk)>  
Date: 9 September 2016 at 09:20  
Subject: Woodland camping and stuff  
To: [roy.briscoe@XXXXXX](mailto:roy.briscoe@XXXXXX), Richard Hitchcock <[richard.hitchcock@XXXXX](mailto:richard.hitchcock@XXXXX)>

Dear Roy and Richard   
I'd like to thank you for giving our concerns time and support and also to say how wonderfully proactive your 'board' is.  It was quite fantastic to see you guys stand up to CDC - Mr Dunn was certainly put in his place!  I can confirm that I was at the planning meeting at CDC when the Hopedene The Paddocks gypsy camp application came up for discussion (Applicant Mr Loten).  We had all been extremely concerned as we knew all of the people involved in this - the people we discussed last night and knew it was just to be a money spinner and that it would not be run correctly.  Although, as a group, we took on Genesis Planning to put our concerns across at the meeting, the Council took a maximum of 5 minutes making their decision - half the councillors had left as it was 4pm and one in particular XXXXX who remained all but accused us of being racist as she 'knew the people of cemetery lane and they were lovely people'.  Mark Dunn did not help our cause and I think he's now regretting that given all of the trouble that has happened.  The Council were too busy discussing the housing development in Hambrook which the deliberated for 6 hours, then agreed to oppose then of course lost against appeal - mainly as they didn't have their case together and as was mentioned last night, totally incompetent.  There were eventually conditions put in - an S107 I believe?  None of that has been upheld.

The issues in Jubilee Woods and The Quarry have been going on intensely for the last 5 years and it's only in the last year when someone advised us to send our concerns to Sandra James that things have changed - she really has been a great support and called a meeting (Mark didn't show!) with Reg Hawkes Enforcement and others to discuss it all and basically kick some backsides as we had been going round in circles with many at CDC and getting nowhere.  During the firearms and shootings going on and the toxic fires ( farm cables had been stolen then set on fire to burn off the rubber to extract the copper amongst household clearances dumped on the bridle path and set alight) I can say that PC Colin Booker was fantastic - he was a real liaison officer - someone we could turn to, get hold of!!  So very sad to hear that support has now been removed.

Anyway, I'm saddened that we weren't aware of just what an influence the Parish Council is and what a great vehicle of support - had we have realised or someone had said to contact the Parish Council, we could have done a lot more a lot earlier.  Nevermind, we know now and will be attending the meetings and informing you of what is happening in our corner of the parish.  Just so that you are aware, Mr Lonsdale is already breaching his terms of reinstatement of the Quarry - the works are starting in the morning earlier than they should and going way past the 1pm deadline on Saturdays.  XXXX copied here who was with me last night I believe will be contacting Sam Dumbrell at WSCC who we are in contact with about this, now that she has your email details, I'm sure she will copy you on all correspondence, as will I.

I apologise for how sheepish I was in the beginning of the Forum, we have experienced threats from some of the plot owners who have barefacedly told us that they are going to make gypsy camps or sell to Mr Sullivan once we rumbled their planning applications for 'horticulture' or 'touring caravans'  - one even said 'oh thats just to get some kind of planning'.  We were unsure last night as to who the people were sat in front of us so were nervous about speaking and airing our concerns in public. It's a problem we have here - quite a lot of our group and neighbours are worried about reprisals.  However I'm so glad we did and so glad those people were just a local couple seeking support for their application!

So again many thanks, we feel a lot more confident this morning and ready to take it all on!  
Best regards  
XXXXX